

MOSER-TRUDINGER INEQUALITIES OF VECTOR BUNDLE OVER A COMPACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD OF DIMENSION 2

YUXIANG LI, PAN LIU, YUNYAN YANG

ABSTRACT. Let (M, g) be a 2-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold. In this paper, we use the method of blowing up analysis to prove several Moser-Trudinger type inequalities for vector bundle over (M, g) . We also derive an upper bound of such inequalities under the assumption that blowing up occur.

1. Introduction and Main results

Let (M, g) be a 2-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold. One of Fontana's results (see [F]) says

$$\sup_{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV_g = 1, \int_M u dV_g = 0} \int_M e^{\alpha u^2} = \begin{cases} < +\infty & \text{if } \alpha \leq 4\pi \\ = +\infty & \text{if } \alpha > 4\pi \end{cases},$$

which extends Trudinger and Moser's inequalities (see [T], [M]). A weak form of the above inequality is

$$(1.1) \quad \log \int_M e^u dV_g \leq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV_g + \int_M u dV_g + C$$

for all $u \in H^{1,2}(M)$, where C depends only on the geometry of M (see [M], [A]). The inequality (1.1) has been extensively used in many mathematical and physical problems, for instance in the problem of prescribing Gaussian curvature ([Ch], [C-Y], [D-J-L-W]), the mean field equation and the abelian Chern-Simons model ([D-J-L-W2], [D-J-L-W3], [J-W]), ect.

In this note we want to derive some new Moser-Trudinger type inequalities. We will consider a smooth vector bundle E with metric h and connection ∇ over M . Throughout this paper, we do not assume $\nabla h = 0$. To simplify the notations, we write

$$H_0 = \{\sigma \in H^{1,2}(M, E) : \nabla \sigma = 0\},$$

and $H_1 = H_0^\perp$, i.e.

$$H_1 = \{\sigma \in H^{1,2}(M, E) : \int_M \langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle dV_g = 0, \text{ for all } \zeta \in H_0\}.$$

We state our main results as follows:

Theorem 1.1 *Let (M, g) be a 2-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold, (E, h) be a smooth vector bundle over (M, g) , ∇ and H_1 be defined as above. Denote*

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \{\sigma \in H_1 : \int_M \langle \nabla \sigma, \nabla \sigma \rangle dV_g = 1\}.$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \int_M e^{4\pi|\sigma|^2} dV_g < \infty,$$

where $|\sigma|^2 = \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_h$, and the constant 4π is sharp, which means that for any $\alpha > 4\pi$,

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \int_M e^{\alpha|\sigma|^2} dV_g = \infty.$$

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we have

Corollary 1.2 *There exists a constant C such that*

$$\int_M e^{|\sigma|^2} dV_g \leq C e^{\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M \langle \nabla \sigma, \nabla \sigma \rangle dV_g}$$

holds for all $\sigma \in H_1$.

We remark two special cases of Theorem 1.1: If E is a trivial bundle, e_i is global basis of E , with

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_h = h_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla e_i = 0,$$

then we have the following:

Corollary 1.3 *Let (M, g) be a 2-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold. Given an positive symmetric matrix H , we denote*

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \left\{ U \in H^{1,2}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) : \int_M \nabla U H \nabla U^T dV_g = 1, \int_M U dV_g = 0 \right\},$$

then we have

$$\sup_{U \in \mathcal{H}_1} \int_M e^{4\pi U H U^T} dV_g < +\infty,$$

where 4π is sharp.

If E is a trivial line bundle with $\langle e, e \rangle_h = f(x)$, Corollary 1.3 is exactly Yang's result[Y].

A complete analogue of Theorem 1.1 is the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M, g) be a 2-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold, (E, h) be a smooth vector bundle over (M, g) , ∇ and H_1 be defined as before. Denote*

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \{ \sigma \in H_1 : \int_M (\langle \nabla \sigma, \nabla \sigma \rangle + |\sigma|^2) dV_g = 1 \}.$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_2} \int_M e^{4\pi|\sigma|^2} dV_g < \infty,$$

where the constant 4π is sharp.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is outlined as follows (the proof of Theorem 1.4 is completely analogous to that of Theorem 1.1, so we omit it). Let us define $J_\alpha(\sigma) = \int_M e^{\alpha|\sigma|^2} dV_g$. We first

show that the sup of J_α can be attained in $\mathcal{H}_1$ if $\alpha < 4\pi$. So we can choose α_k converging to 4π increasingly, and $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfying

$$J_{\alpha_k}(\sigma_k) = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} J_{\alpha_k}(\sigma).$$

Denote $c_k = |\sigma|(x_k) = \max_{x \in M} |\sigma|(x)$. Passing to a subsequence, we assume $p = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_k$. Without loss of generality, we may assume blow-up occur, that is, $c_k \rightarrow +\infty$. Take a local coordinate system (Ω, x) around p . Using the idea in [S], we define a sequence of functions,

$$\varphi_k(x) = 2\alpha_k \langle \sigma_k(x_k), \sigma_k(x_k + r_k x) - \sigma_k(x_k) \rangle$$

for some $r_k > 0$, where $x \in \Omega_k$ with

$$\Omega_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_k + r_k x \in \Omega\}.$$

We then prove that, for suitable r_k ,

$$\varphi_k \rightarrow -2 \log(1 + \pi |x|^2) \quad \text{in} \quad C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Then we prove that

$$c_k \sigma_k \rightarrow G \quad \text{in} \quad C^2(\Omega), \quad \forall \Omega \subset\subset M \setminus \{p\},$$

where G is certain Green section. Finally, with the asymptotic behavior of σ_k described above, we can establish the desired inequality and thus Theorem 1.1. In fact, we can give an upper bound of the functional $J_{4\pi}$ in case that the blow-up happens.

Though we mainly follow the ideas in [L] and [L-L], we should point out that, in this paper, the convergence of $c_k \sigma_k$ is derived differently from that of [L] or [L-L]: The key gradient in [L] is the energy estimate

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{u_k \leq \frac{c_k}{A}\}} |\nabla u_k|^2 dV_g = \frac{1}{A}.$$

In this paper, though similar identity is used, the calculations are based on the local Pohozaev identities. We thank Professor Weiyue Ding who notice us possible application of the Pohozaev identity when we study the extremal function for Fontana's inequality on 4-dimensional manifold (see [L-Y]). Moreover, the method we get the upper bound of $J_{4\pi}$ is also new: instead of capacity technique in [L], we use a result of Carleson and Chang ([C-C]) as follows:

Theorem A *Let B be unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Given any sequence $u_k \in H_0^{1,2}(B)$, if $u_k \rightarrow 0$, and $\int_{B_\delta} |\nabla u_k|^2 dx \leq 1$, then we have*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_B (e^{4\pi u_k^2} - 1) dx \leq \pi e.$$

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we settle some notations for use later. In section 3 we prove that 4π is the best constant. Section 4 is blowing up analysis. We will prove the convergence of $c_k \sigma_k$ in section 5. Then we finish the proof of our main theorem in section 6.

We hope our results can be a powerful tool in studying some problems arising from geometry and mathematical physics. In a forthcoming paper, we shall extend our results to high dimensional case and find some geometrical and physical applications.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we clarify some notations. Take finite coordinate domains $\{\Omega_k\}$ which cover M . Let σ be a smooth section of E , on each Ω_k , we can set $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i e_i$. We define

$$\|\sigma\|_{H_k^{1,2}}^2 = \int_M \sum_{i,j} (|\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j}|^2 + \sum_k |u^i|^2) dx$$

and

$$\|\sigma\|_{H^{1,2}(M,E)} = \sum_k \|\sigma\|_{H_k^{1,2}}.$$

Clearly, such norm is equivalent to

$$\|\cdot\| = \left(\int_M (|\nabla \cdot|^2 + |\cdot|^2) dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let σ be a parallel section, i.e. $\sigma \in H_0$. Then we have $\|\sigma\|_{H^{1,2}} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{L^2}$. By the compactness of Sobolev embedding from $H^{1,2}$ into L^2 , we have

1. H_0 is a finite dimensional vector space. Throughout this paper, we use $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m$ to denote an orthogonal basis of H_0 .

2. Poincaré inequality holds on H_1 , i.e. for any $\sigma \in H_1$, we have

$$\int_M |\sigma|^2 dV_g \leq C \int_M \langle \nabla \sigma, \nabla \sigma \rangle dV_g,$$

then, on H_1 , we can set

$$\|\cdot\|_{H_1^{1,2}} = \left(\int_M (|\nabla \cdot|^2) dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

which is equivalent to the $H^{1,2}$ norm.

Throughout this paper, we will use $(\Omega_p; x^1, x^2)$ to denote an isothermal coordinate system around p with $p = (0, 0)$. We can write the metric in such coordinate system as follows:

$$g = e^{f_p} (d(x^1)^2 + d(x^2)^2)$$

with $f_p(0) = 0$. Moreover, we always assume $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ to be an orthogonal basis of E in Ω_p .

We should explain some notations involving $\nabla \Phi$. Locally, when $\Phi = u^k e_k$ is a section of E , and ∇ denotes the connection of E , then

$$\langle \nabla \Phi, \nabla \Phi \rangle = e^{-f_p} \sum_{i=1,2} \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} u^k e_k, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} u^k e_k \rangle = \sum_{i=1,2} \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} u^k e_k, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} u^k e_k \rangle_0.$$

When Φ is a function, then $\nabla \Phi$ is just the tangent vector $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}$, and

$$|\nabla_0 \Phi|^2 = \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^1} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^2} \right|^2, \quad |\nabla \Phi|^2 = e^{-f_p} |\nabla_0 \Phi|^2.$$

When $\Phi = (u^1, \dots, u^n) \in H^{1,2}(\Omega) \times \dots \times H^{1,2}(\Omega)$, we use $|\nabla_0 \Phi|^2$ to denote

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |\nabla_0 u^k|^2.$$

Throughout this paper we use B_r to denote the following open set of Ω_p

$$\{(x^1, x^2) : |x^1|^2 + |x^2|^2 < r^2\}.$$

Finally, we use Δ_0 to denote the standard Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^1{}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2{}^2}.$$

3. The best constants

The main task of this section is to prove the following: $\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \int_M e^{\alpha|\sigma|^2} dV_g < +\infty$ for any $\alpha < 4\pi$, and $\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \int_M e^{\alpha|\sigma|^2} dV_g = +\infty$ for any $\alpha > 4\pi$. Firstly, we need a result in [Ch] (cf. Theorem 2.50 in [Au]):

Lemma 3.1. *Let B_r be a ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$, then for any $\beta < 2\pi$, we have*

$$\sup_{\int_{B_r} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx = 1} \int_{B_r} e^{\beta u^2} dx < C(r).$$

Then we have the following:

Corollary 3.2. *Let B_r be a ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and $u^1, \dots, u^n \in H^{1,2}(B_r)$. Then we have*

$$\sup_{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B_r} (|\nabla u^i|^2 + |u^i|^2) dx = 1} \int_{B_r} e^{\beta \sum_{i=1}^n |u^i|^2} dx < C(r).$$

for any $\beta < 2\pi$.

Proof. We set $\lambda_i = \int_M (|\nabla u^i|^2 + |u^i|^2) dx$, then $\int_\Omega e^{\beta \frac{|u^i|^2}{\lambda_i}} dx < C$. Hence

$$\int_\Omega e^{\beta \sum_{i=1}^n |u^i|^2} dx \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\int_\Omega e^{\beta \frac{|u^i|^2}{\lambda_i}} dx \right)^{\lambda_i} \leq C^{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i} = C.$$

□

Remark 3.1. Let Ω_p be a coordinate system around p , and $B_r \subset \Omega_p$. Given a section $\sigma = u^k e_k$, we set $U = (u^1, \dots, u^n)$. Clearly, $|\nabla_0 U|^2 + |U|^2 \leq C(\langle \nabla \sigma, \nabla \sigma \rangle + |\sigma|^2)$. Hence, for any $\alpha < \frac{2\pi}{C}$, we have

$$\sup_{\int_{B_r} (\langle \nabla \sigma, \nabla \sigma \rangle + |\sigma|^2) dV_g = 1} \int_{B_r} e^{\alpha|\sigma|^2} dV_g < +\infty.$$

As an consequence, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a positive number α such that $\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \int_M e^{\alpha|\sigma|^2} dV_g < +\infty$.*

Denote $\tilde{\alpha} = \sup\{\alpha : \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \int_M e^{\alpha|\sigma|^2} dV_g < +\infty\}$. We shall prove that $\tilde{\alpha} = 4\pi$.

Lemma 3.4. $\tilde{\alpha} \leq 4\pi$.

Proof. Let Ω_p be a coordinate domain. By Moser's result [M], we can find a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset H_0^{1,2}(B_{\frac{1}{k}})$ such that $\int_{B_{\frac{1}{k}}} |\nabla_0 u_k|^2 dx = \int_{B_{\frac{1}{k}}} |\nabla u_k|^2 dV_g = 1$, and $\int_{B_{\frac{1}{k}}} e^{(4\pi + \frac{1}{k})u_k^2} dV_g > k$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. We set

$$\sigma_k = u_k e_1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \langle u_k e_1, \zeta_i \rangle_{L^2} \zeta_i \in H_1.$$

Since $\|u_k\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\int_M \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle dV_g \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M e^{(4\pi + \frac{1}{k})|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Next, we prove an energy concentration phenomenon as follows:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$. If*

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M e^{\alpha|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = +\infty$$

for all $\alpha > \tilde{\alpha}$, then passing to a subsequence, $\sigma_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{1,2}(M, E)$, and

$$\langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle dV_g \rightarrow \delta_p$$

for some $p \in M$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k &\rightharpoonup \sigma_0 \quad \text{weakly in} \quad H^{1,2}(M, E) \\ \sigma_k &\rightarrow \sigma_0 \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^2(M, E). \end{aligned}$$

We first claim that $\sigma_0 = 0$. Suppose not, we have

$$\int_M \langle \nabla(\sigma_k - \sigma_0), \nabla(\sigma_k - \sigma_0) \rangle dV_g \rightarrow 1 - \int_M \langle \nabla \sigma_0, \nabla \sigma_0 \rangle dV_g < 1.$$

Hence we can find a $\alpha_1 > \tilde{\alpha}$ such that $\int_M e^{\alpha_1|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g$ is bounded, which contradicts (3.1).

Secondly we show the concentration phenomenon. Suppose

$$\langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle dV_g \rightarrow \mu \neq \delta_p, \quad \forall p \in M.$$

Taking $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_\delta(p))$, $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_{\delta/2}(p)$, one can easily see that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_\delta(p)} \langle \nabla(\eta \sigma_k), \nabla(\eta \sigma_k) \rangle dV_g < 1.$$

Hence $e^{|\eta \sigma_k|^2}$ is bounded in $L^\alpha(M, E)$ for some $\alpha > \tilde{\alpha}$. A covering argument implies that $e^{|\sigma_k|^2}$ is bounded in $L^\alpha(M, E)$ for some $\alpha > \tilde{\alpha}$, which contradicts (3.1). □

Corollary 3.6. $\tilde{\alpha} = 4\pi$

Proof. By the definition of $\tilde{\alpha}$, we can find a sequence $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M e^{(\tilde{\alpha} + \frac{1}{k})|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = +\infty.$$

Then, applying Lemma 3.5, we get

$$\langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle dV_g \rightarrow \delta_p, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M |\sigma_k|^2 dV_g \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, for any η which is 0 near p , we have $\int (|\nabla(\eta \sigma_k)|^2 + |\eta \sigma_k|^2) dV_g \rightarrow 0$. Applying Lemma 3.3, one can find a subsequence (still denoted by σ_k) such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} e^{q|\eta \sigma_k|^2} dV_g = \text{Vol}(\Omega)$$

for any $\Omega \subset\subset M \setminus \{p\}$ and $q > 0$.

In coordinate around p , we set $\sigma_k = u_k^i e_i$. It is easy to check that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{2r}} \sum_{i,j} \left| \frac{\partial(\eta' u_k^i)}{\partial x^j} \right|^2 dx = 1,$$

where η' is a cut-off function which is 1 on B_r . Then, similar to the proof of Corollary 3.2, we can deduce from Moser's result [M] that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_r} e^{q|\sigma_k|^2} dx < +\infty$$

for any $q < 4\pi$. Hence $\tilde{\alpha} \geq 4\pi$, which together with Lemma 3.4 implies $\tilde{\alpha} = 4\pi$. $\square$

In a similar way, we can prove the following

Proposition 3.7 *For any $\alpha < 4\pi$,*

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_2} \int_M e^{\alpha|\sigma|^2} dV_g < +\infty,$$

and for any $\alpha > 4\pi$,

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_2} \int_M e^{\alpha|\sigma|^2} dV_g = +\infty.$$

4. Blowing up analysis

Let α_k be an increasing sequence which converges to 4π . In this section, we shall consider a sequence of sections σ_k which attains $\sup_{\mathcal{H}_1} J_{\alpha_k}$, and analyze its blow-up behavior. First of all, we prove the following

Lemma 4.1. *The functional $J_{\alpha_k}(\sigma)$ defined on the space $\mathcal{H}_1$ admits a smooth maximizer $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Moreover, we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \int_M e^{4\pi |\sigma|^2} dV_g.$$

Proof. It is easy to find $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that

$$J_{\alpha_k}(\sigma_k) = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} J_{\alpha_k}(\sigma).$$

One can check that σ_k satisfies the following Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$(4.2) \quad -\Delta \sigma_k = \frac{\sigma_k}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} - \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_k^i \zeta_i,$$

where $\Delta = \nabla^* \nabla$ is defined as follows: for any $\tau \in H^{1,2}(M, E)$

$$\int_M \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \tau \rangle dV_g = \int_M \langle \Delta \sigma_k, \tau \rangle dV_g.$$

It is easy to check that

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda_k = \int_M |\sigma_k|^2 e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_k^i = \int_M \frac{\langle \sigma_k, \zeta_i \rangle}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g.$$

Let Ω_p be an coordinate system around p . We set

$$\sigma_k = u_k^i e_i, \quad \text{and} \quad U_k = (u_k^1, u_k^2, \dots, u_k^n),$$

and

$$\nabla e_j = \Gamma_{ji}^l dx^i \otimes e_l.$$

Then, we get a local version of (4.2)

$$(4.4) \quad -\Delta_0 u_k^j = T_s^{ji} \frac{\partial u_k^s}{\partial x^i} + R_i^j u_k^i + e^{f_p(x_k + r_k x)} \left(\frac{u_k^j}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} - \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_k^i \langle \zeta_i, e_p \rangle \right),$$

where T_s^{ji} and R_i^j are smooth on Ω_p . (4.4) can be written for simplicity

$$-\Delta_0 U_k = e^{f_p(x_k + r_k x)} \frac{U_k}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |U_k|^2} + T(\nabla U_k) + R(U_k) - \sum_i \gamma_k^i \langle U_k, \zeta_i \rangle.$$

The standard elliptic estimates implies that $\sigma_k \in C^\infty(M)$.

Since for any fixed $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\int_M e^{\alpha_k |\sigma|^2} dV_g \leq \int_M e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g,$$

(4.1) follows immediately. □

We assume that

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M e^{\alpha |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = +\infty$$

for all $\alpha > 4\pi$ (Otherwise, by the weakly compactness of L^p , passing to a subsequence, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M e^{\alpha |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = \int_M e^{\alpha |\sigma_0|^2} dV_g,$$

where σ_0 is the weak limit of σ_k . Hence, Theorem 1.1 holds). It follows from Lemma 3.5 that $\langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle dV_g \rightarrow \delta_p$. Given any $\Omega \subset\subset M \setminus \{p\}$, we take a cut-off function η which is 0 at p , and 1 on Ω , then

$$\int_M \langle \nabla \eta \sigma_k, \nabla \eta \sigma_k \rangle dV_g = \int_M \eta^2 \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle + 2\eta \langle \sigma_k d\eta, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle dV_g + \int_M \langle \sigma_k d\eta, \sigma_k d\eta \rangle dV_g \rightarrow 0,$$

hence $\int_\Omega (|\nabla \eta \sigma_k|^2 + |\eta \sigma_k|^2) dV_g \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 3.7, $e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega)$ for any $p > 0$. Standard elliptic estimates imply that $\sigma_k \rightarrow 0$ in $C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\frac{1}{\beta_k} = \int_M \frac{|\sigma_k|}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g$. Then, we have*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_k = +\infty, \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{\beta_k} = +\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma_k < +\infty.$$

Proof. For any fixed $N > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|\sigma_k| \leq N} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = \int_M e^0 = \text{Vol}(M).$$

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \leq \text{Vol}(M) + \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \int_{|\sigma_k| > N} |\sigma_k|^2 e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \leq \text{Vol}(M) + \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{N^2}.$$

If $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_k < +\infty$, letting $N \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\int_M e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \leq \text{Vol}(M).$$

However, it follows from (4.1) that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \int_M e^{4\pi |\sigma|^2} dV_g > \text{Vol}(M).$$

Therefore, $\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_k = +\infty$.

In the similar way, we have $\frac{\lambda_k}{\beta_k} \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, we have

$$|\gamma_k^i| \leq c_1 + c_2 \int_{\{|\sigma_k|^2 \geq 1\}} \frac{|\sigma|^2}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \leq C$$

for some constants c_1, c_2 and C depending only on M . Moreover, we have

$$\int_M \frac{|\sigma_k|}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \leq \int_M \left(\frac{e^{4\pi N^2}}{\lambda_k} + \frac{1}{\lambda_k N} |\sigma|^2 e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \right).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$, and then $N \rightarrow +\infty$, we get $\frac{1}{\beta_k} \rightarrow 0$. □

Let $c_k = |\sigma_k|(x_k) = \max_{x \in M} |\sigma_k|(x)$. By (4.4) and (4.5), $c_k \rightarrow +\infty$. Passing to a subsequence, we assume $x_k \rightarrow p$. Set $r_k^2 = \lambda_k c_k^{-2} e^{-\alpha_k c_k^2}$. Since

$$1 = \int_M \frac{|\sigma_k|^2}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \leq \frac{c_k^2}{\lambda_k} e^{\frac{\alpha_k}{2} c_k^2} \int_M e^{\frac{\alpha_k}{2} |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \leq C \frac{c_k^2}{\lambda_k} e^{\frac{\alpha_k}{2} c_k^2},$$

we get

$$r_k^2 e^{\frac{\alpha_k}{2} c_k^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let Ω_p be a local coordinate system around p , $\sigma_k = u_k^i e_i$ and $U_k = (u_k^1, \dots, u_k^n)$. Denote

$$V_k(x) = U_k(x_k + r_k x), \quad D_k = U_k(x_k)$$

and

$$C_k = (c_k^1, c_k^2, \dots, c_k^n) = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \int_{B_1} V_k dx.$$

A direct calculation shows

$$(4.6) \quad -\Delta_0(V_k - C_k) = c_k^{-2} V_k e^{\alpha_k(|\sigma_k|^2 - c_k^2) + f(x_k + r_k x)} + \mathcal{F}_k.$$

where $\|\mathcal{F}_k\|_{L_{loc}^2} \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$-\Delta_0(V_k - C_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^n), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_L} |\nabla(V_k - C_k)|^2 dx \leq 1.$$

Then, by Poincare inequality, one has $\|V_k - C_k\|_{L^2(B_L)} \leq C(L)$ for any fixed $L > 0$. By the standard elliptic estimates, $V_k - C_k \rightarrow V$ in $C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $V \in H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Notice that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_0 V \nabla_0 V^T dx \leq 1$, Liouville's theorem gives $V \equiv 0$. Furthermore we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad V_k - D_k = (V_k - C_k) + (C_k - V_k(0)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let

$$w_k(x) = 2\alpha_k D_k (U_k(x_k + r_k x) - D_k)^T.$$

Then we have

$$(4.8) \quad -\Delta_0 w_k = 2\alpha_k e^{f(x_k + r_k x)} \left(1 + \frac{D_k(V_k - D_k)^T}{c_k^2}\right) e^{\alpha_k(|\sigma_k|^2(x_k + r_k x) - c_k^2)} + \mathcal{F}'_k,$$

where $\|\mathcal{F}'_k\|_{L_{loc}^2} \rightarrow 0$. It is easy to see that on B_L

$$(D_k U_k^T)(x_k + r_k x) \leq |D_k| \times |U_k^T(x_k + r_k x)| \leq c_k^2.$$

Hence

$$w_k(x) \leq w_k(0) = 0.$$

Then the identity

$$\alpha_k(|\sigma_k|^2 - c_k^2) = w_k + \alpha_k(V_k - D_k)(V_k - D_k)^T,$$

together with Harnack inequality and standard elliptic estimates, gives $w_k \rightarrow w$ in $C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where w satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_0 w = 8\pi e^w & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ w(0) = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} w = 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^w dx \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

By a result of [C-L], we have $w(x) = -2 \log(1 + \pi|x|^2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^w = 1$.

In the rest of this section, we will discuss the convergence of $\beta_k \sigma_k$.

Proposition 4.3. $\beta_k \sigma_k \rightarrow G$ weakly in $H^{1,q}(M, E)$ for any $1 < q < 2$, and $\beta_k \sigma_k \rightarrow G$ in $C^2(\Omega)$ for any $\Omega \subset\subset M \setminus \{p\}$, where $G \in C^2(M \setminus \{p\})$ satisfies

$$(4.9) \quad -\Delta G = \theta \delta_p - \sum_{i=1}^m \langle \theta, \zeta_i \rangle \zeta_i$$

for some $\theta \in E_p$.

Proof. Since $\sigma_k \rightarrow 0$ in $C^\infty(M \setminus B_\delta(p))$, and $\frac{\beta_k}{\lambda_k} \rightarrow 0$, then for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M, E)$, we have

$$\int_{M \setminus B_\delta(p)} \frac{\beta_k \langle \varphi, \sigma_k \rangle}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \rightarrow 0.$$

On Ω_p , we set

$$\theta^i = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega_p} \frac{\beta_k u^i}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g,$$

and $\theta = \theta^i e_i$. It is easy to see that

$$\int_M \frac{\langle \varphi, \sigma_k \rangle}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \rightarrow \langle \varphi, \theta \rangle.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_M \frac{\beta_k \langle \varphi, \sigma_k \rangle}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = \int_M \beta_k \gamma_k^i \langle \varphi, \zeta_i \rangle dV_g$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M, E)$. Then, we get

$$\left| \int_M \beta_k \gamma_k^i \langle \varphi, \zeta_i \rangle dV_g \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{C^0},$$

therefore, $\sup_k |\beta_k \gamma_k| < +\infty$.

Let $m_k^2 = \int_M |\beta_k \sigma_k|^2 dV_g$. Firstly, we need to prove $\sup_k m_k < +\infty$. If $m_k \rightarrow +\infty$, then $\|\Delta \frac{\beta_k \sigma_k}{m_k}\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$. It follows from Proposition 7.1 in the Appendix that

$$\frac{\beta_k \sigma_k}{m_k} \rightarrow 0$$

in $H^{1,p}$ for any $p < 2$. Hence it follows from Poincaré inequality and compact embedding of Sobolev space that $\frac{\beta_k \sigma_k}{m_k} \rightarrow 0$ in L^2 , which contradicts $\int_M |\frac{\beta_k \sigma_k}{m_k}|^2 dV_g = 1$.

Since $\sup_k m_k < +\infty$, applying Proposition 7.1 again, we get $\beta_k \sigma_k$ converges weakly in $H^{1,p}$ for any $p < 2$. Therefore $\|\beta_k \sigma_k\|_{L^q} \leq C(q)$ for any $q > 0$. Assume $\beta_k \sigma_k \rightarrow G$ weakly in $H^{1,q}(M, E)$. Then we have by Lemma 4.3 and standard elliptic estimate,

$$\beta_k \sigma_k \rightarrow G \quad \text{in } C^2(\Omega), \quad \forall \Omega \subset\subset M \setminus \{p\}.$$

Testing the equation satisfied by $\beta_k \sigma_k$ with $\phi \in C^\infty(M, E)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \beta_k \sigma_k \rangle dV_g &= \int_M \frac{\langle \phi, \beta_k \sigma_k \rangle}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_M \langle \phi, \beta_k \gamma_k^i \zeta_i \rangle dV_g \\ &\rightarrow \langle \theta, \phi(p) \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^m \langle \theta, \zeta_i(p) \rangle \int_M \langle \phi, \zeta_i \rangle dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_M \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla G \rangle dV_g = \langle \theta, \phi \rangle(p) - \sum_{i=1}^m \langle \theta, \zeta_i(p) \rangle \int_M \langle \phi, \zeta_i \rangle dV_g,$$

and whence (4.9) holds. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

5. Applications of Pohozaev identity

In this section, we will calculate $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g$. The key gradient we use is Pohozaev identity. Let $B_\delta(p) \subset \Omega_p$. Testing equation (4.2) with $\eta(r)r\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\sigma_k$, we have

$$\int_{B_\delta(p)} \langle \nabla \eta(r)r\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle dV_g = \int_{B_\delta(p)} \langle \eta(r)r\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\sigma_k, \sigma_k \rangle \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}}{\lambda_k} dx + \int_{B_\delta(p)} \gamma_k^i \langle \eta(r)r\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\sigma_k, \zeta_i \rangle dV_g,$$

where η is a cut-off function which is 0 outside ∂B_δ and 1 on $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}$, $r = \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla \eta(r)r\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 &= \sum_j \langle \nabla_j \eta(r)x^p \nabla_p \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 \\ &= \eta(r) \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 + \eta'(r) \frac{x^i x^j}{r} \langle \nabla_i \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 + \eta(r) \langle x^i \nabla_j \nabla_i \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 \\ &= \eta(r) \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 + \eta'(r) \frac{x^i x^j}{r} \langle \nabla_i \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 + \eta(r) \langle x^i \nabla_i \nabla_j \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 \\ &\quad + \eta(r) \sum_{i,j} \langle x^i R_{ij} \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 \\ &= \eta(r) \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 + \eta'(r) \frac{x^i x^j}{r} \langle \nabla_i \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 + \frac{1}{2} \eta(r) r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \sigma_k \rangle_0 \\ &\quad + \eta(r) \sum_{i,j} \langle x^i R_{ij} \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 + \eta(r) S_0(\nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_0 = e^{-f} S$, and

$$S(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle - \langle r \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle - \langle \sigma_1, r \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} \sigma_2 \rangle \right).$$

Since we do not assume $\nabla h = 0$, $S \neq 0$ generally. We set

$$\eta(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & r \leq \delta \\ \frac{r-\delta-a}{-a} & \delta \leq r \leq \delta + a \\ 0 & r \geq \delta + a. \end{cases}$$

Letting $a \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\delta(p)} \langle \nabla r \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 dx &= \int_{\Omega_p} \left(\langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 + \frac{1}{2} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \sigma_k \rangle_0 + \sum_{i,j} \langle x^i R_{ij} \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 \right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} \frac{x^i x^j}{r} \langle \nabla_i \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 dS + \int_{B_\delta(p)} S(\nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k) dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\delta(p)} \frac{1}{2} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \sigma_k \rangle_0 dx &= \int_0^\delta \pi r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\int_{S^1} \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 d\theta \right) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} r \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 dS - \int_{B_\delta(p)} \langle \sigma_k, \sigma_k \rangle_0 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\delta(p)} \langle \nabla r \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 dx &= \int_{\Omega_p} \sum_{i,j} \langle x^i R_{ij} \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 dx - \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} \frac{x^i x^j}{r} \langle \nabla_i \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 dS \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} r \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 dS + \int_{B_\delta(p)} S(\nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k) dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_{B_\delta} e^{-f} \langle r \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \sigma_k, \sigma_k \rangle_0 \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}}{\lambda_k} dx = \int_{B_\delta} e^{-f} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \langle \sigma_k, \sigma_k \rangle_0 \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}}{\lambda_k} dx + \int_{B_\delta} \frac{S(\sigma, \sigma)}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\delta} e^{-f} \langle r \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \sigma_k, \sigma_k \rangle_0 \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}}{\lambda_k} dx &= \int_0^\delta 2\pi r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\int_{S^1} \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma|^2}}{2\alpha_k \lambda_k} e^{-f} d\theta \right) dr + \int_{B_\delta} \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma|^2}}{\alpha_k \lambda_k} r \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} dV_g. \\ &= \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} r \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}}{\alpha_k \lambda_k} dS_g - \int_{B_\delta(p)} \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}}{\alpha_k \lambda_k} dV_g \\ &\quad + \int_{B_\delta} \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma|^2}}{\alpha_k \lambda_k} r \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\delta(p)} (1 + O(r)) \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}}{\alpha_k \lambda_k} dV_g &= \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} r \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}}{\alpha_k \lambda_k} dS_g - \int_{B_\delta(p)} \sum_{i,j} \langle x^i R_{ij} \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} \frac{x^i x^j}{r} \langle \nabla_i \sigma_k, \nabla_j \sigma_k \rangle_0 dS - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} r \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle_0 dS \\ &\quad + \int_{B_\delta} \gamma_k^i \langle \eta(r) r \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \sigma_k, \zeta_i \rangle dV_g \\ &\quad + \int_{B_\delta} (S(\nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k) - \frac{S(\sigma_k, \sigma_k)}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}) dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\int_{B_\delta} \gamma_k^i \langle \eta(r) r \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \beta_k \sigma_k, \zeta_i \rangle dV_g \leq C \|\nabla \beta_k \sigma_k\|_{H_{B_\delta}^{1,q}} \rightarrow C \|\nabla G\|_{H^{1,q}(B_\delta)}$$

and

$$\int_{B_\delta(p)} \sum_{i,j} (\langle x^i R_{ij} \beta_k \sigma_k, \nabla_j \beta_k \sigma_k \rangle_0 + S) dx \leq C \|\beta_k \sigma_k\|_{L^{q'}(B_\delta)} \|\nabla \beta_k \sigma_k\|_{L^q(B_\delta)} \rightarrow C \|G\|_{L^{q'}(B_\delta)} \|\nabla G\|_{L^q(B_\delta)},$$

where $1 < q < 2$. Secondly, applying Lemma 7.2 in the Appendix, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} \frac{x^i x^j}{r} \langle \nabla_i \beta_k \sigma_k, \nabla_j \beta_k \sigma_k \rangle_0 dS_0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} r \langle \nabla \beta_k \sigma_k, \nabla \beta_k \sigma_k \rangle_0 dS \\ &\rightarrow \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} \frac{x^i x^j}{r} \langle \nabla_i G, \nabla_j G \rangle_0 dS - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} r \langle \nabla G, \nabla G \rangle_0 dS \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} + O(\delta^\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, since $\sigma_k \rightarrow 0$ in ∂B_δ , we get

$$\int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} \frac{e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2}}{\alpha_k} \rightarrow \frac{|\partial B_\delta|}{4\pi}.$$

Finally, we set $S(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \langle A\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle$, where $\|A\|_{C^0} = O(r)$. Take a cut-off function η which is 0 outside $B_{2\delta}$ and 1 in B_δ with $|\nabla\eta| < \frac{1}{\delta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2\delta}(p)} \langle A\nabla\eta\sigma_k, \nabla\sigma_k \rangle &= \int_{B_{2\delta}(p)} \langle \nabla A\eta\sigma_k, \nabla\sigma_k \rangle dV_g - \int_{B_{2\delta}(p)} \langle (\nabla A)\sigma_k, \nabla\sigma_k \rangle dV_g \\ &= \int_{B_{2\delta}(p)} \frac{\langle A\eta\sigma_k, \sigma_k \rangle}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g - \int_{B_{2\delta}(p)} \langle (\nabla A)\sigma_k, \nabla\sigma_k \rangle dV_g \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k^2 \int_{B_\delta} (S(\nabla\sigma_k, \nabla\sigma_k) - S(\sigma_k, \sigma_k) \frac{e^{\alpha_k|\sigma_k|^2}}{\lambda_k}) dV_g \\ \rightarrow O(1) \int_{B_{2\delta}(p)} \langle (\nabla A)\eta G, \nabla G \rangle dV_g + O(\delta) \int_{B_{2\delta}(p) \setminus B_\delta(p)} \eta |\nabla G|^2 dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

So, we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_\delta(p)} (1 + O(r)) e^{\alpha_k|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = \frac{|\partial B_\delta|}{4\pi} + \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{\beta_k^2} + h(\delta),$$

where $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} h(\delta) = 0$. Clearly, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{M \setminus B_\delta(p)} e^{\alpha_k|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = |M \setminus B_\delta(p)|$, we get

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M e^{\alpha_k|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = \text{Vol}(M) + \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{\beta_k^2}.$$

The following lemma is very important:

Lemma 5.1. *we have $\frac{c_k}{\beta_k} \rightarrow 1$.*

Proof. Since

$$\frac{c_k}{\beta_k} = \int_M \frac{c_k \sigma_k}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k|\sigma_k|^2} \geq \int_M \frac{|\sigma_k|^2}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g,$$

we have $c_k \geq \beta_k$.

Assume the lemma is not true, then we can find $A > 1$ such that $\frac{c_k}{A} > A\beta_k$ for sufficiently large k . Denote

$$\tau = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sigma_k}{c_k} = \tau^i e_i.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\tau^1 > 0$. By the equation (4.4), we have for any fixed $L > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla_0 \eta(u_k^i - \frac{u_k^i(x_k)}{A})^+|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega_p} \nabla_0 u_k^i \nabla_0 \eta(u_k^i - \frac{u_k^i(x_k)}{A})^+ dx + o_k(1) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_p} \eta(u_k^i - \frac{u_k^i(x_k)}{A})^+ \frac{u_k^i}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g + o_k(1) \\ &\geq \int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} \frac{(u_k^i - \frac{u_k^i(x_k)}{A}) u_k^i}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k|\sigma_k|^2} dV_g + o_k(1) \\ &= \int_{B_L(0)} \left(\frac{u_k^i(x_k)(A-1) + o_k(1)}{A} \right) \frac{u_k^i}{c_k^2} e^{\varphi_k(x) + o_k(1)} dx + o_k(1). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$, then $L \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M |\nabla \eta(u_k^1 - \frac{u_k^1(x_k)}{A})^+|^2 dV_g \geq (1 - \frac{1}{A}) \tau^{1^2}.$$

Let $\sigma_k^A = \eta u_k^{A,i} e_i = \eta(\min\{u_k^1(x), \frac{u_k(x_k)}{A}\} e_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n u_k^i e_i)$, where η is a cut-off function which is 0 in $M \setminus B_{2\delta}(p)$, and 1 in $B_\delta(p)$. Since

$$|\nabla \sigma_k^A|^2 = \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla_0 u_k^{A,i}|^2 + O(|\sigma_k^A| |\nabla \sigma_k^A|) + O(|\sigma_k^A|^2),$$

we get

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M (|\nabla \sigma_k^A|^2 + |\sigma_k^A|^2) dV_g \leq 1 - \frac{A-1}{A} \tau^{12} < 1.$$

Then, by Proposition 3.7, $e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k^A|^2}$ is bounded in L^q for some $q > 1$. Since

$$\int_{\Omega_p \cap \{u_k^1 \leq \frac{\tau^1 c_k}{A}\}} \frac{\beta_k}{\lambda_k} |\sigma_k| e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \leq \frac{\beta_k}{\lambda_k} \int_{\Omega_p} |\sigma_k| e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k^A|^2} dV_g \leq \frac{\beta_k}{\lambda_k} \|\sigma_k\|_{L^{q'}(M)} \|e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k^A|^2}\|_{L^q(M)} \rightarrow 0.$$

In the same way, for any τ^i , we have

$$\int_{\Omega_p \cap \{|u_k^i| \leq \frac{\tau^i c_k}{A}\}} \frac{\beta_k}{\lambda_k} |\sigma_k| e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \rightarrow 0$$

Since $|\tau| = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{|\sigma_k| \geq A\beta_k\}} \frac{|\sigma_k|^2}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \\ &\geq A \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_k \int_{\{x \in \Omega_p: |u_k^i| \geq A\tau^i \beta_k, \forall i\}} \frac{|\sigma_k|}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \\ &\geq A \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_k \int_M \frac{|\sigma_k|}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g - A \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_i \int_{\{|u_k^i| \leq \frac{\tau^i c_k}{A}\}} \frac{\beta_k |\sigma_k|}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \\ &= A. \end{aligned}$$

This contradict with the choice of A . □

Corollary 5.2. *We have $\tau = \theta$, and*

$$(5.2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M (e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} - 1) dV_g = \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g.$$

Proof. By a straightforward calculation, we have

$$\int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = \frac{\lambda_k}{c_k^2} \int_{B_L} e^w dx (1 + o(1)).$$

The above inequality together with (5.1) implies (5.2).

It is not difficult to check that

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} \frac{\beta_k |\sigma_k|}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = 1,$$

and

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} \frac{\beta_k u_k^i}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = \tau^i.$$

Hence

$$|\theta^i - \tau^i| = \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega_p \setminus B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} \frac{\beta_k u_k^i}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \leq 1 - \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} \frac{\beta_k |\sigma_k|}{\lambda_k} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = 0.$$

□

6. The proof of theorem 1.1

On Ω_p , we set $\tilde{U}_k = (|\tilde{u}_k^1|, \dots, |\tilde{u}_k^n|)$. The following lemma is very important for the rest of our arguments:

Lemma 6.1. *We have*

$$\int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla_0 \tilde{U}_k|^2 dx \leq \int_{B_\delta(p)} \langle \nabla \sigma_k, \nabla \sigma_k \rangle dV_g + \frac{\rho(\delta)}{c_k^2},$$

where $\rho(\delta)$ is a continuous function of δ with $\rho(0) = 0$.

Proof. It is well-known that

$$\int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla_0 \tilde{U}_k|^2 dx = \int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla_0 U_k|^2 dx.$$

We set

$$\nabla \sigma_k = \left(\frac{\partial u_k^p}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial u_k^p}{\partial x^2} \right) e_p + A_i^p u_k^i e_p,$$

where A^p 's are smooth functions. Hence, we get

$$|\nabla_0 U_k|^2 = |\nabla_0 U_k|^2 - 2 \langle A(\sigma_k), \nabla \sigma_k \rangle + |AU_k|^2.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\delta(p)} c_k^2 \langle A(\sigma_k), \nabla \sigma_k \rangle dx &\leq C \left(\int_{B_\delta(p)} |A(c_k \sigma_k)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla c_k \sigma_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B_\delta(p)} |c_k \sigma_k|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla c_k \sigma_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\rightarrow C \left(\int_{B_\delta(p)} |G|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla G|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\int_{B_\delta(p)} \langle A(\sigma_k), \nabla \sigma_k \rangle dV_g \leq \frac{\rho_1(\delta)}{c_k^2}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\int_{B_\delta(p)} |A(\sigma_k)|^2 \leq \frac{\rho_2(\delta)}{c_k^2}.$$

The lemma follows immediately from the above two inequalities. □

On Ω_p , we can write G as follows :

$$G = -\frac{\log r}{2\pi} \tau + s_p + \theta.$$

where s_p is a constant section, and θ is continuous local section of E with $\theta(0) = 0$. Then Theorem 1.1 follows from the following proposition:

Proposition 6.2 *If (4.5) holds, then we have*

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \int_M e^{4\pi|\sigma|^2} dV_g \leq \text{Vol}(M) + \pi e^{1+4\pi\langle\tau, s_p\rangle}.$$

Proof. Set $Y_k = (\tilde{U}_k - L_k)^+$, where

$$L_k = (l_1, \dots, l_n) = \sup_{\partial B_\delta(p)} \tilde{U}_k = O\left(\frac{1}{c_k}\right).$$

Then $Y_k \in H_0^{1,2}(B_\delta, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla_0 Y_k|^2 dx \leq 1 - \int_{M \setminus B_\delta(p)} |\nabla G|^2 dV_g + o_\delta(1) \frac{1}{c_k^2}.$$

By Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 7.2 in Appendix, we have

$$\int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla Y_k|^2 dx \leq 1 - \frac{-\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \delta + \langle\tau, s_p\rangle + \epsilon(k, \delta)}{c_k^2},$$

where $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow +0} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon(k, \delta) = 0$. Let

$$\vartheta_k = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{-\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \delta + \langle\tau, s_p\rangle + \epsilon(k, \delta)}{c_k^2}} = 1 + \frac{-\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \delta + \langle\tau, s_p\rangle + \epsilon(k, \delta)}{c_k^2} + O\left(\frac{\log^2 \delta}{c_k^4}\right).$$

By Theorem A and the method we used to prove Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_\delta(p)} e^{\alpha_k \vartheta_k |Y_k|^2} dx \leq \delta^2 (\pi e + \pi).$$

If $\tilde{u}_i < l_k$, we have on $B_{Lr_k}(x_k)$

$$\tau^i = 0, \quad \tilde{u}_i = \frac{o_\delta(1)}{c_k}, \quad l_k = \frac{o_\delta(1)}{c_k}.$$

Hence, we have $y_k^i = \tilde{u}_k^i - l_k + \frac{o_\delta(1)}{c_k}$ for sufficiently large k . A straightforward calculation shows on $B_{Lr_k}(x_k)$,

$$\vartheta_k |Y_k|^2 = |\tilde{U}_k|^2 - 2\tilde{U}_k L_k + |\tilde{U}_k|^2 \left(\frac{-\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \delta + \langle\tau, s_p\rangle}{c_k^2} \right) + \epsilon(\delta, k).$$

It is easy to check that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} c_k L_k^i = -\frac{|\tau^i|}{2\pi} \log \delta + \text{sign}(\tau^i) s_p^i + o_\delta(1),$$

and on $B_{Lr_k}(x_k)$,

$$\frac{\tilde{U}_k}{c_k} = (|\tau^1|, \dots, |\tau^n|) + \frac{o_k(1)}{c_k}.$$

Recall that $|\tau| = 1$, we get

$$\tilde{U}_k L_k = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \delta + \langle\tau, s_p\rangle + \epsilon'(\delta, k),$$

where $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon'(\delta, k) = 0$. Since $|\tilde{U}_k|^2/c_k^2 \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$|\tilde{U}_k|^2 \leq \vartheta_k |Y_k|^2 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \delta + \langle \tau, s_p \rangle + \epsilon'(\delta, k).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$, then $L \rightarrow +\infty$, and $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$(6.1) \quad \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g \leq \pi e^{1+4\pi \langle \tau, s_p \rangle} \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\alpha_k \vartheta_k |Y_k|^2} dx < +\infty.$$

Then by Corollary 5.2, we obtain

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_\rho(p) \setminus B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = |B_\rho|, \quad \forall \rho > 0.$$

Clearly,

$$|Y_k|^2 \leq |\tilde{U}_k|^2 = |\sigma_k|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_k \vartheta_k |Y_k|^2 \leq \alpha_k |U_k|^2 - 2 \log \delta + C.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_\rho(p) \setminus B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\alpha_k \vartheta_k |Y_k|^2} dx \leq O(\delta^{-2}) \int_{B_\rho(p)} e^{\alpha_k |\sigma_k|^2} dV_g = O(\delta^{-2}) |B_\rho(p)|.$$

It is easy to see that for any fixed $\rho > 0$

$$\int_{B_\delta(p) \setminus B_\rho(p)} e^{\alpha_k \vartheta_k |Y_k|^2} dV_g \rightarrow |B_\delta \setminus B_\rho|.$$

Letting $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_\delta(p) \setminus B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\alpha_k \vartheta_k |Y_k|^2} dx = \pi \delta^2, \quad \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\alpha_k \vartheta_k |Y_k|^2} dx \leq \delta^2 \pi e.$$

Then the Proposition follows from (6.1) and Corollary 5.2. $\square$

7. Appendix

We will prove two propositions which have been used in section 5 and section 6. Since the proof is routine, we prove them in this appendix. The first proposition is an extension of Theorem 2.2 in [S2]:

Proposition 7.1. *Let σ be a smooth section of E with the equation*

$$\Delta \sigma = f.$$

If $\|\sigma\|_{L^2} \leq \gamma$ and $\|f\|_{L^1} \leq 1$, then for any $q < 2$, there is a constant $C(q)$ which depend only on q , γ and M , s.t.

$$\|\sigma\|_{H^{1,q}} \leq C(q)$$

Proof. For any $p \in M$, we take a cut-off function which is 1 in B_r and 0 outside B_{2r} . Write $\sigma = u^i e_i$. Given $t > 0$, we set $u^{i,t} = \min\{\eta u^i, t\}$ and $\sigma^t = u^{i,t} e_i$. Then

$$\int_M \langle f, \eta^2 \sigma^t \rangle dV_g = \int_M \langle \eta^2 \sigma^t, \Delta \sigma \rangle dV_g.$$

We get

$$\int_{B_r(p)} \sum_i |\nabla \eta u^{i,t}|^2 dx \leq C_1 t + C_2 \leq C' t$$

when t is sufficiently large.

Let u_*^i be the rearrangement of ηu^i , and $\mu_{\mathbb{R}^2}(B_\rho) = \mu_{\mathbb{R}^2}\{\eta u^{i,t} \geq t\}$. Then we have

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{B_r} |\nabla v|^2 dx : v \in H_0^{1,2}(B_r) \text{ and } v|_{B_\rho} = t \right\} \leq Ct.$$

On the other hand, the inf is achieved by $-t \log \frac{|x|}{r} / \log \frac{r}{\rho}$. By a direct computation, we have

$$\frac{2\pi t}{\log \frac{r}{\rho}} \leq C,$$

$$\mu_M(\{x \in B_r : \eta u^i \geq t\}) \leq C\mu_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\{x \in B_r : \eta u^i \geq t\}) = C\mu_{\mathbb{R}^n}(B_\rho) \leq C(r, p)e^{-A(r, p)t}.$$

Hence, we can find a constant A , s.t.

$$|\{\eta u^i \geq t\} \cap B_r| \leq e^{-At}.$$

In the same way, we get

$$|\{\eta u^i \leq -t\} \cap B_r| \leq e^{-A't}.$$

By the compactness of M , we get

$$|\{|\sigma| \geq t\}| \leq e^{-\delta_0 t}$$

for some δ_0 and sufficiently large t . Then, for any $\delta < \delta_0$,

$$\int_M e^{\delta|\sigma|} dV_g \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mu(\{m \leq u \leq m+1\}) e^{\delta(m+1)} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-(\delta_0-\delta)m} e^{\delta} \leq C.$$

Locally, we denote $U = (u^1, \dots, u^n)$, then

$$\Delta_0 u^i = f^i + T_1^i(\nabla U) + T_2^i(U),$$

where T_1 and T_2 are smooth and linear at each point. Testing the above equation with the function $\eta^2 \log \frac{1+2u^{i+}}{1+u^{i+}}$, where η is 1 on B_r and 0 outside B_{2r} . We obtain the following

$$\int_{B_r} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\nabla_0 \eta u^{i+}|^2}{(1+2u^{i+})(1+u^{i+})} dx \leq \log 2 + \int_{B_r} (T_{1'}^i(\nabla \eta U^+) + T_{2'}^i(U^+)) dV_g,$$

where $T_{1'}$ and $T_{2'}$ are smooth and linear at each point. Since $\int_M e^{\delta|\sigma|} dV_g < C(\delta)$, we have

$$\int_{B_r} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\nabla u^{i+}|^2}{(1+2u^{i+})(1+u^{i+})} \leq C.$$

Given $q < 2$, by Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u^{i+}|^q dV_g &\leq \int_{B_r} \left(\frac{|\nabla_0 u^{i+}|^2}{(1+u^{i+})(1+2u^{i+})} + ((1+u^{i+})(1+2u^{i+}))^{\frac{q}{2-q}} \right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_r} \left(\frac{|\nabla_0 u^{i+}|^2}{(1+u^{i+})(1+2u^{i+})} + C e^{\delta u^{i+}} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u^{i-}|^q dV_g < C(q).$$

Again, by the compactness of M , we get

$$\|\sigma\|_{H^{1,q}} < C(q)$$

for some $C(q)$.

□

On Ω_p , we can set

$$G = -\frac{\log r}{2\pi}\tau + s_p + \theta,$$

we have the following Lemma:

Lemma 7.2. *There are constants $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $A > 0$, s.t.*

$$|\nabla\theta|(x) \leq Ar^{\gamma-1},$$

when x is near 0. Therefore, we have

$$\int_{M \setminus B_\delta(p)} |\nabla G|^2 dV_g = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \delta + \langle \tau, s_p \rangle + o_\delta(1).$$

Proof. Let $\theta = v^i e_i$, and $V = (v^1, \dots, v^n)$, we have the equation of V

$$-\Delta_0 V = -\nabla Q_1 \nabla V + \frac{1}{r} Q_2 \frac{\partial Q_3}{\partial r} + F,$$

where Q_1 , Q_2 and Q_3 are smooth matrix, F is a smooth vector function. Hence $V \in W_{loc}^{2,p}$ for any $p < 2$.

Clearly, we can write

$$Q^{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial r} = Q^{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_i} \frac{x_i}{r} = \Lambda_i \frac{x_i}{r} + F_1,$$

where Λ_k are constant vector, and $F_1 = o(1)$. Hence

$$-\Delta_0 V = \Lambda_i \frac{x_i}{r^2} + F_2,$$

where $F_2 \in L_{loc}^\infty$. Then

$$-\Delta_0 x_m V = \Lambda_i \frac{x_i x_m}{r^2} + x_m F_2 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_m} \in L_{loc}^q$$

for any $q > 0$. Hence, we get

$$\|x_m V\|_{C^1(B_r)} \leq \|V\|_{C^0(B_{2r})} 2r + C(1 + \|\nabla V\|_{L^{2q}}) r^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for any $q > 1$. Notice that $V(0) = 0$, and then $V = O(r^\gamma)$ for some $\gamma > 0$, we get

$$|x_m \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i}(x)| \leq Cr^\gamma$$

for some $\gamma > 0$, any $i, m = 1, 2$ and $x \neq 0$. In the end, we get

$$|\nabla V|(x) \leq |x|^{\gamma-1}.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [A] T. Aubin: Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemann Geometry. Springer, 1998.
- [C-C] L. Carleson and A. Chang: on the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J.Moser. Bull. Sc. Math., **110** (1986) 113-127.
- [C] A. Chang: The Moser-Trudinger inequality and applications to some problems in conformal geometry. Non-linear partial differential equations in differential geometry (Park City, UT, 1992), 65–125, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [C-Y] A. Chang and P. Yang: Conformal deformation of metrics on S^2 . J. Differential Geometry, **27** (1988) 259-296.

- [C-L] W. Chen and C. Li: Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations. *Duke Math. J.*, **63** (1991) 615-622.
- [Ch] Cherrien: Une inégalité de Sobolev sur les variétés Riemanniennes. *Bull. Sc. Math*, **103** (1979) 353-374.
- [D-J-L-W] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li and G. Wang: The differential equation $-\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann Surface. *Asian J. Math.*, **1** (1997) 230-248.
- [D-J-L-W2] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li and G. Wang: Multiplicity results for the two-vortex Chern-Simons Higgs model on the two sphere. *Commun. Math. Helv.*, **74** (1999) 118-142.
- [D-J-L-W3] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li and G. Wang: Existence results for mean field equations. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire*, **16** (1999) 653-666.
- [F] L. Fontana: Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds. *Comm. Math. Helv.*, **68** (1993) 415- 454.
- [J-W] Jackiw, R. and Weinberg, E., Self-dual Chern-Simons vortices. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **64** (1990) 2234-2237.
- [L] Y. Li: Moser-Trudinger inequality on compact Riemannian manifolds of dimension two. *J. Partial Differential Equations* **14** (2001) 163-192.
- [L-L] Y. Li and P. Liu: A Moser-Trudinger inequality on the boundary of a compact Riemann surface. *Math. Z.*, **250** (2005) 363-386.
- [L-Y] Y. Li and Yang, Li: Extremal function for Adams-Fontana inequality in 4-manifold. In prepairation.
- [M] J. Moser: A sharp form of an Inequality by N.Trudinger. *Ind. Univ. Math. J.*, **20** (1971) 1077-1091.
- [S] M. Struwe: Critical points of embedding of $H_0^{1,n}$ into Orlicz space. *Ann. Inst. Henri. Poincare* **5** (1988) 425-464.
- [S2] M. Struwe: Positive solution of critical semilinear elliptic equations on non-contractible planar domain. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **2** (2000) 329-388.
- [T] N. S. Trudinger: On embedding into Orlicz space and some applications. *J. Math. Mech.* **17** (1967) 473-484.
- [Y] Y. Yang: A weighted form of Moser-Trudinger inequality on Riemannian surface. *Nonlinear Analysis* (2005), in press.

Yuxiang Li

ICTP, Mathematics Section, Strada Costiera 11, I-34014 Trieste, Italy

E-mail address: liy@ictp.it

Pan Liu

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, 3663, Zhong Shan North Rd, Shanghai 200062, P.R.China

E-mail address: pliu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

Yunyan Yang

Department of Mathematics, Information School, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, P. R. China

E-mail address: yunyan_yang2002@yahoo.com.cn